96-98

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

From: To:

Date:

Jim Funk

Mike Powell 11/20/02 2:02PM

Subject:

Triennial Review

DEC 0 2 2002

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

Chairman Powell,

I am writing this on behalf of Arrival Communications employees, investors and our customers. Arrival is a facilities base CLEC serving business customers in California's South Central San Joaquin Valley and Central Coast. Arrival is a example of the 1996 Telecommunications Act working as proposed. We provide alterative voice and data services for business served by SBC and GTE. We serve 3rd and 4th tier markets where these business now have a choice to whom they want to choice base on their decision.

Me and my fellow employees have worked very hard to provide good service at a competitive price with real customer satisfaction. During your triennial review please allow **us** the opportunity to stay a value added business and let the customer have a choice.

And thank you for your consideration.

James M. Funk
Network Operations Manager
Wk 661-716-6037
Cell 661-978-8741
<<White Paper Final.doc>>

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL CEIVED

DEC 02

Construction of the Constr

e a Secretar,

From:

HUDSPETH, DONNENE E (PB)

To: Date: Mike Powell 10/31/02 6:00PM

Subject:

<No Subject>

Mr. Chairman,

I am writing to urge you to enact more fair and just rules in the pricing of our unbundled network element platform - UNE-P. As you know, SBC is not able to cover its costs in providing UNE-P because of unfair pricing schemes put into place by state commissions. SBC recently announced the layoffs of 11,000 people. At a time like this, the idea of being laid off is daunting. There are no other telecommunications companies hiring people, and families will be left destitute as a result of these layoffs. The company is forced to take this type of extreme action because it cannot continue to sustain other businesses at unethically low prices. We are a business, not a charity, and while it may seem fair to some to require us to support other companies' networks, it is blatantly unfair to require us to do so at prices that cause 11,000 SBC employees to lose their livelihoods. Please act now to remedy this unfortunate and unjust situation.

> Donnene E Hudspeth SBC Employee

donnene hudspeth Sacramento WCC 3600 Marconi Av Sacramento, CA 95842 916 593 5413 (voice mail) 9165939153

DOCKET FILE COPY OBEGINAR 2002

96.98

From:

Laura Kuster

To: Date:

Mike Powell 11/20/02 11:53AM

Subject: **Triennial Review** The time to the second of the second Comes Section.

My name is Laura Kuster and I am an employee of Arrival Communications, a California based competitive local exchange carrier based in Bakersfield serving customers in the Central Valley and along the Central Coast. Arrival has fought hard to build a successful, facilities-based business providing local telephone, long distance telephone and highspeed broadband data services to thousands of small to medium sized business. The 1996 Telecom Act is working because we are able to offer customers a very viable alternative to the incumbent local carrier. We encourage Congress and the FCC. as detailed in the attached letter, to maintain the pro-competitive regulatory framework currently in place and to build upon it. Thank you for you support in this matter.

DOCKET FILE COPY ONG INTELLED

From:

Sueellen40@aol.com

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

10/31/02 12:54AM

Subject:

10-30-02

DEC 0.2 2002

Trini, - Consert Liscopatt Columbiation
(Claring inserted)

Mr Powell.

And just what are your plans for me and 10,999 other employees of SBC Communications that are losing our jobs because of the AT&T, WorldCom UNE-P problem? And, why would any judge with a good mind or business sense grant WorldCom the permission to give bonuses to their employees for staying on when they have not paid their SBC Southwestern Bell bills since some of them were established in 2000? And, by the way, could you please explain these bonuses to me when so many hard working employees at WorldCom lost their 401K's and were also laid off while Bernie Ebbers and his associates were living high on the hog? Where is the logic in this? Do you read the news? Also, what do you expect for the ones of us that started with SBC when we were 18 years of age and have worked there for 24 years and are unable to retire or seek another job within the company? Who is going to pay our simple mortgages or health insurance or put our children through college? I am sure it will probably not be you or your "Republican" friends. I am anxiously awaiting your reply. Why are these competitors allowed to use our network for almost next to nothing without investing anything in our future? Please contribute something positive while you have the opportunity to make a difference.

A 43 year old, 24 year employee of Southwestern Bell, too young to retire, with a 16 year old son, whose 401K is dwindling down along with the employees of companies experiencing corporate greed, Debbie Louvier-Gontarek

96-98